

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                              | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 10/802,212                                   | 03/17/2004  | Georg Mies           | 5692-0009               | 3755             |
| 7590 08/18/2005                              |             |                      | EXAM                    | INER             |
| Richard R. Michaud                           |             |                      | COHEN, AMY R            |                  |
| McCormick, Paulding & Huber LLP CityPlace II |             |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER     |
| 185 Asylum Street<br>Hartford, CT 06103      |             |                      | 2859                    |                  |
|  |             |                      | DATE MAILED: 08/18/2005 |                  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|  | Application No.   | Applicant(s)  |  |  |  |  |
|--|---|---|--|--|--|--|
|  | 10/802,212  | MIES, GEORG   |  |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary  | Examiner  | Art Unit  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Amy R. Cohen  | 2859  |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication ap<br>Period for Reply  | pears on the cover sheet with the c   | orrespondence address   |  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.  after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE                  | ely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133). |  |  |  |  |
| Status   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ∑ This   | s action is non-final.  |   |  |  |  |  |
| ·  | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. |   |  |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims  |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 1-5 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) 6-20 is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or</li> </ul>   | wn from consideration.  | ·   |  |  |  |  |
| Application Papers   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 17 March 2004 is/are:  Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11.   | a) $\boxtimes$ accepted or b) $\square$ objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See stion is required if the drawing(s) is obj  | ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list  | ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicationity documents have been received in (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  | on No ed in this National Stage   |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)  | _   |   |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>3/17/04;7/28/04</u>.</li> </ol>   | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:  |   |  |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 10/802,212

Art Unit: 2859

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- Claims 1, 3, 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Braman et al.
   (U. S. Patent No. 4,833,630).

Braman et al. teaches a device (Fig. 1) for direct detection of a spatial position of a probe element (7) in a multi-coordinate measuring apparatus, including a reference system comprised of at least one first (1) and one second standard (3) that are associated with coordinate axes of the measuring apparatus (Figs. 1 and 2), the first standard being a planar standard having a main surface carrying a line grating array which includes at least one two-dimensional line grating (Fig. 1, Col 4, line 62-Col 5, line 9), the second standard being an elongate standard noncontacting relative to the first standard (Figs. 1 and 2, Col 4, lines 51-61), the second standard being movable in two dimensions and preferably disposed at right angles to the main surface (Figs. 1 and 2, Col 4, lines 51-68), and wherein provision is made for a first position measuring system (10, 20) for determining the spatial position of the second standard with respect to the first standard (Col 5, lines 10-20).

Braman et al. teaches the device wherein the first position measuring system includes at least one first reading head (10) and spaced therefrom a second reading head (20) for detecting

Art Unit: 2859

the line grating array of the first standard, said reading heads being provided on the second standard opposite the main surface of the first standard (Figs. 1 and 2, Col 5, lines 10-34).

Braman et al. teaches the device wherein either both reading heads of the two reading heads of the first position measuring system are associated with the two-dimensional line grating of the first standard (Figs. 1 and 2, Col 5, lines 10-34), or one reading head is associated with the one-dimensional line grating while the other reading head is associated with the two-dimensional line grating of the first standard.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Braman et al. in view of Kober (German Application No. DE4132942A1).

Braman et al. discloses the device as described above in paragraph 2.

Braman et al. does not disclose the device wherein the line grating array of the first standard includes the two-dimensional line grating and a one-dimensional line grating.

Kober discloses a device wherein the line grating array of the first standard includes the two-dimensional line grating (Fig. 3, TX2 and TY2) and a one-dimensional line grating (Fig. 3, RX2 or RY2).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device of Braman et al., to include a one-dimensional line grating on the first standard, as taught by Kober, in order to provide a reference marker to calibrate each individual coordinate axis (Kober, Abstract).

5. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Braman et al.

Braman et al. discloses the device as described above in paragraph 2.

Braman et al. does not disclose the device wherein the first position measuring system includes three distance sensors arranged in the corners of an imaginary equilateral triangle on the second standard on a side opposite the first standard.

Regarding claim 5: Braman et al. discloses a device wherein the first position measuring system includes two distance sensors. It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide at least three distance sensors arranged in the corners of an imaginary equilateral triangle, since it has been held that the mere duplication of the essential working parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art. <u>St.</u>

Regis Paper Co. v. Bemis Co., 193 USPQ 8. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device of Braman et al., to include three distance sensors arranged in the corners of an imaginary equilateral triangle in order to provide additional position data points, enhancing the accuracy of the multi-coordinate measuring apparatus.

Application/Control Number: 10/802,212 Page 5

Art Unit: 2859

#### Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 6-20 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

## Reasons for Allowance

7. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Claims 6-20: The prior art of record does not disclose or suggest a device for direct detection of a special position of a probe element in a multi-coordinate measuring apparatus, wherein the second standard is provided on a column on which a carriage is movable along a guide, and extends parallel to the guide of the carriage, the second standard is a prismatic body of preferably polygonal cross-section carrying two-dimensional line gratings on a first and second surface, and provision is made for a second position measuring system for determining the special position of a support member fixedly connectable with or integrated into the carriage with respect to the second standard in combination with the remaining limitations of the claims.

#### Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The following patents disclose multi-coordinate measuring apparatus Aoki (U. S. Patent No. 6,791,699), Carlisle (U. S. Patent No. 6,543,149), Dudley (U. S. Patent No. 6,389,702), Braasch et al. (U. S. Patent No. 6,351,313), Mies et al. (U. S. Patent No. 5,727,326),

Application/Control Number: 10/802,212 Page 6

Art Unit: 2859

Lee (U. S. Patent No. 6,082,010), Michel (U. S. Patent No. 5,061,073), and Maag (U. S. Patent No. 4,166,323).

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Amy R. Cohen whose telephone number is (571) 272-2238. The examiner can normally be reached on 8 am - 5 pm, M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Diego F. Gutierrez can be reached on (571) 272-2245. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ARC August 15, 2005 Christopher Fulton
Primary Examiner
Tech Center 2800